



Desalination in California:
What will it take to catch-up with
the rest of the world?

Dennis Dickerson
Principal Regulatory Policy Manager
McGuire Environmental Consultants

Desalination of Ocean Water

- What if?
 - You could sell an acre-foot of water for \$2,608,000, who would you be?
 - Metropolitan Water District
 - Coca-Cola Corp.
 - A ocean water desalination facility
 - City of Los Angeles
 - One very wealthy entrepreneur
 - Ans. All of the above

Who would you really be?

- Coca-Cola Corp.
 - Dasani water
 - Sell each 16 oz. bottle for \$1
 - 8 bottles/gallon
 - 326,000 gallons per ac-ft (approx)
 - 2,608,000 bottles/ac-ft

Reality Check Time

- If an ac-ft of water really were to cost \$2,608,000
 - Washing your car would cost
 - Taking a bath would cost
 - Filling your swimming pool would cost
- Actually, an ac-ft of water costs from \$600-1,000

Cost has Consequences

- Because water has been traditionally provided at extremely low cost
 - Subsidized by water storage/transport projects
 - alternative sources of supply have been priced out of the market
- But
 - water supplies are not as plentiful as they were
 - water demand is increasing as population grows
 - the economics of water supply are changing

A changing dynamic

- Demand: Historical
 - MWD 1980 3.1 million ac-ft per year
 - MWD 1990 3.9 million ac-ft per year
- Demand: MWD Projection
 - 2000 3.8 million ac-ft per year
 - 2020 4.9 million ac-ft per year

Meeting the demand

- Conservation is being used
 - reclaimed water is replenishing groundwater supplies or used directly for irrigation
 - water conservation education efforts
 - Without aggressive conservation and conjunctive use, the water crisis would now be upon us
- Alternative supplies
 - desalination is now being seriously considered as a means of providing a limited but highly reliable supply of drinking water

The State of Desalination Worldwide

- Used extensively in locations with limited local supplies
 - Islands
 - Middle east 60% of world desalination capacity
 - Spain 5% of total water supply is from desalination
 - Singapore
 - Construction underway on a \$200 million (S) plant to produce 110,000 cu meters/day (10% of national demand)
 - Australia
 - Western Australia's government recently announced plans to construct a \$350 million (AUS) plant producing 45 gigalitres per year (33 MGD)

Desalination in the United States

Tampa

- Tampa facility
 - First major desalination plant in the US
 - Will produce up to 50 MGD
 - Currently partially operational
 - Problems with location of feed water intake
 - Not related to operational concept or capability
 - Inadequate filtration to avoid clogging of filters and membranes
 - ❖ Results in frequent maintenance
 - ❖ Reduces membrane lifespan
 - ❖ To be remedied by improved filtration of feed water

Desalination in California

- No major facility currently operational
- Santa Barbara experience in early 1990s
- A number of smaller plants currently operate
 - water supply
 - pilot scale testing
- Several large scale plants being pursued

Desalination is Ready for Prime Time

- Costs are within range
 - delivered water ranges from \$500-\$1,000
 - desalinated water can be provided at a cost that is competitive
 - \$1,000 to \$1,200 per ac-ft
 - Cost trend is making desal ever cheaper
- Provides a highly reliable “local” supply
- Provides exceptional water quality

Most major California desal proposals feature co-location with power plants

- Co-location
 - Existing industrial use property
 - Already existing intake and outlet structures
 - Use cooling water as feed water
 - Water already at elevated temperature
 - Location of intake after 316(b) regulation point
 - Concentrate is mixed into cooling water flow prior to discharge
 - Existing power facilities needed into the future
 - CEC “Aging Power Plant Study”

Industrial use property

- Using existing industrial property avoids distracting land use controversies
 - Desalination facilities are unremarkable
 - No smokestacks, cooling towers, etc.
 - Viewed from outside, non-differentiated from a warehouse building or low profile commercial building

Existing intake structures

- For optimum desal operation, water should be at an elevated temperature
- Use of cooling water as feed water avoids need for pre-heating
 - Location of desal intake after 316(b) regulation point does not significantly increase impacts associated with impingement and entrainment - they have already occurred

Cooling water as pretreatment

- Blending of concentrate with cooling water
 - Comparatively small volume of concentrate blends with very large volume of cooling water
 - 600 MGD cooling water intake
 - 100 MGD diverted for desalination process
 - 50 MGD removed to drinking water supply
 - 50 MGD returned to cooling water flow
 - 550 MGD discharged to ocean
 - Results in minor differences in salt concentration between intake and discharge

Barriers to Desalination in California

- Regulatory Hurdles
 - Discharge permit from RWQCB
 - Will need to meet specific provisions for NPDES permits
 - Ocean Plan is more favorable than Inland Waters Plan given dilution ratio and receiving water effluent limitations
 - California Coastal Commission
 - Has issued a paper raising many questions about desalination
 - May try to assert authority it does not have whether or not warranted

Barriers to Desalination in California

- Policy Conflicts
 - State agencies may not be of one mind
 - Dept of Water Resources
 - Coastal Commission
 - Water Boards
 - Adds a level of uncertainty

NIMBYism

- Huntington Beach experience is not encouraging
 - Draft EIR recommended for approval by city staff
 - Certified EIR by Planning Commission
 - EIR not certified by City Council (Dec 2003)
 - Revised Draft EIR being developed
 - Co-location and extending the life of existing power facilities a major issue

Benefits of Desalination

- Reduce demand on State Water Project
 - Allows retention of water in Bay-Delta for natural uses
 - Incrementally reduces demand for electrical energy for SWP
 - Increases water supply for other uses that would otherwise be limited by lack of water availability or a commitment to divert to other locations
 - Drought proofing for coastal areas reduces demands for water transfers in dry periods

Benefits (cont'd)

- Benefits will be proportional to the amount of water produced
 - Benefits need to be considered together with costs of desalination
 - Energy requirements
 - Environmental impacts
- Desalination especially critical in areas affected by supply constraints
 - Alternate supply availability changes the cost/benefit relationship

Catching up to the World?

- What do we need to do?
 - Recognize the West and So California are arid environments
 - Our water is “borrowed” from others
 - Assumes others don’t need “their” water
 - Intelligently embrace seawater desalination in responsible environmental site locations
 - Recognize that environmental impacts are limited and that existing regulatory programs will address them

Catching up to the World?

- What else do we need to do?
 - Understand that desalination is a technology that is now reliable and cost effective
 - Develop policies to support desalination as part of the water portfolio
 - Avoid creating unwarranted barriers
 - Understand the nature of the water cycle